

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/830,694	05/14/2001	Heinz-Peter Rink	IN-5484	1819	
75	590 03/04/2003				
Basf Corporation			EXAMINER		
26701 Telegrap Southfield, MI	oh Road 48034-2442		ZALUKAEVA, TATYANA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1713		
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/04/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A S-			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Offic Action Summary		09/830,694	RINK ET AL.			
	ome Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Tatyana Zalukaeva, PhD	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 D</u>	December 2002				
2a)□		s action is non-final.				
3)□	/ 		esecution as to the morite is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-20 is/are pending in the a	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-10, 12-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicatio	n No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)			
Patent and Tra	domad. Offi					

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. The reply filed on February 17, 2003 identifies "polyols as set forth in claim 4". Although this statement DOES NOT ELECT A SINGLE!!! DISCLOSED SPECIES as was requested in the election of species requirement, Examiner did send another "non-responsive" communication in order to not further delay the prosecution. However, Applicants are reminded that under 35 U.S.C.§ 121 to elect a single ultimate disclosed specie for each of the above (polyols) genera for prosecution on the merits to which claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held allowable. Where specific species are not identified in the claims, Applicant should have elected a specific specie from the Specification. An alternative method of election is to identify an Example which collectively exemplifies the elected species.
- 2. New claims 12-20 if presented in the first place would have been restrictable as follows:
- 3. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6, 12-13, 15-20, drawn to liquid composition or a (co)polymer

Art Unit: 1713

Group II, claim(s) 7, -10, 18, drawn to a method of making a polymer under specific conditions would have been attributed to another group

4. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the composition as claimed in Group I does not require the specificities of the process of GroupII, and the process of Group II does not specifically produce the composition of Group I, and, furthermore, can be utilized for producing any other materially different composition, and therefore, specific technical feature linking both inventions does not exist.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Applicants are further advised to contact the undersigned, if any questions arise, and to finally make a proper election in order to accelerate prosecution of Application.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1713

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tatyana Zalukaeva, PhD whose telephone number is (703)30-8819. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (703)308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Tatyana Zalukaeva, PhD

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1713

February 25, 2003